Demo: An Experimental Environment Based On Mini-PCs For Federated Learning Research
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Abstract—There is a growing research interest in Federated Learning (FL), a promising approach for data privacy preservation and proximity of training to the network edge where data is produced. Resource consumption for Machine Learning training and inference is an important issue for edge nodes, but most of the proposed protocols and algorithms for FL are evaluated by simulations. In this demo paper, we present an environment based on distributed mini-PCs to enable the experimental study of FL protocols and algorithms. We have installed low-capacity mini-PCs within a wireless city mesh network and deployed container-based FL components on these nodes. We show FL clients and server deployed at different nodes in the city and demonstrate how an FL experiment can be set and run in a real environment.

Index Terms—edge cloud computing; mini-PCs, testbed, federated learning

I. INTRODUCTION

The recent Machine Learning (ML) approach of Federated Learning (FL) distributes the effort of training ML models over many small nodes [1]. With federated learning there is now the opportunity to perform ML model training on edge devices, thus exploiting the increase of edge nodes’ computing power and the emergence of lightweight ML frameworks such as TensorFlow Lite1.

FL can unlock the obstacles faced by centralized ML approaches. One important feature is privacy preservation of the local training data. Since trained models are exchanged between server and clients and not raw data, the characteristics of the private local data are embedded in the trained models, and methods like differential privacy help to reduce what remains from the exposition of private data through these models. [2]. Among popular applications are Apple’s Siri, which also leverages privacy-preserving FL2.

1Deployed ML models on mobile and IoT devices. https://www.tensorflow.org/lite
2How Apple personalizes Siri without hoovering up your data: https://www.technologyreview.com/2019/12/11/131629/apple-ai-personalizes-siri-federated-learning/

While several variations of FL algorithms have been proposed and evaluated on multiple datasets, the practical aspects of FL are less well understood. Indeed, research in federated learning is still only a few years old, and most of the new ideas are validated in simulation only. Therefore, there exists a certain gap between the established theoretical knowledge and the answer to the question of what would be the building blocks of FL operating in real edge scenarios. However, there are a few works towards this direction like, for instance that of Gao et. al. [3], in which experimentation with FL is performed in controlled conditions with Raspberry Pi nodes.

In this demo paper, we present an experimental environment deployed within a wireless network in which FL can be researched under real conditions. Figure 1 illustrates the testbed nodes when they are used for an experiment. The nodes are connected to the routers of a wireless mesh network called GuifiSants3 in the city of Barcelona.

Figure 1. Commodity devices deployed in the GuifiSants mesh network with installed FL components.
II. EXPERIMENTATION ENVIRONMENT

The hardware used for the testbed nodes consists of Minix mini-PCs\(^4\) and PC Engines APU2\(^5\). The original operating system of these devices was replaced by Debian 10 Buster.

The GuifiSants wireless mesh network is part of the larger Guifi.net community network\(^6\). Therefore the testbed nodes, as part of Guifi.net, have routable IP addresses within Guifi.net assigned from the 10.0.0.0/8 network segment. Access to the testbed nodes can either be remotely from the public Internet for which we have created a Wireguard and VPN access, or by connecting locally to a Guifi node.

We use an FL implementation in which the client and server components are implemented in Python language [4]. The design of the implementation is modular, which makes changes for the testing of different ML models or application cases easy. For the experimentation, we use an example image classification task, for which a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) is trained at each client. The code is packed in Docker images in order to allow for an easier deployment on the different nodes. Since some testbed nodes have limited or no Internet access, we have installed a Docker registry and Debian repository proxy within Guifi.net. Thus, newly-built Docker images, which the experimenter creates for the testing of changes in protocols and algorithms, are pushed to the local Docker registry, and from there they can be pulled by any testbed node within Guifi.net.

The experimentation can aim to study different parameters of the FL design space. One aspect can be the application level, e.g., analyzing the effects of different protocols and algorithms on ML prediction accuracy. Another focus can be the architecture, in terms of client and server designs and their interactions. For edge scenarios, where nodes have limited bandwidth and computational capacities, the resource usage pattern of different FL designs and algorithms are important to understand. An option to control the experimentation is to do it through the Web interface of the FL server (Figure 2).

Since the experimentation goals can be very broad and diverse, we do not have a single monitoring tool. Therefore, on one hand we have implemented a Prometheus-Grafana solution for enabling general long-term monitoring of experiments. For example, Fig. 3 shows a dashboard which monitors the federated learning server of an experiment. For short-time experimentation, we have installed other open-source tools in the testbed nodes for measuring resource consumption and traffic of the FL component at the level of seconds.

III. EXPERIMENTATION

The experimentation in this demo aims to show the capabilities and potential of the testbed environment in terms of conducting FL experiments for research by showing:

1) The preparation of an experiment by choosing and registering a set of FL clients to the server and configuration options.
2) Running of an FL experiment on several distributed testbed nodes.
3) The steps for the analysis of results and examples of detected behavior.
4) Our ongoing on extensions of the FL experimentation environment.
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